An Essay on Vaccinella or Cow-pox.

Respectifully submitted to the Faculty of the

Homoeopathic Medical College

Pennsehania

On the 28th day of January Eighteen hundred & fifty-four

By Dr. J. Bryant

of Brooklyn

One of the most important discoveries of modern times, is the prophylactic virtue of low-pap, in extinguishing in the human organism, its susceptibility to the contagion of that loath-some, malignant, and pestitential disease. Omall-pap.

This discovery was first made in Germany in Me year 1769; when it was observed that those persons who had contracted the vaccine disease from the udder of cows, were preserved from vario-lous infection. Bet no practical use was made of the observation, until several years afterwards, when Dr. Edward Jennes first introduced it outo the human system by inoculation.

The announcement of this discovery by Dr. Jenmer, gave rise to a violent controversy with respect to its merits; and Jenner was subjected to much

abuse and persecution, especially from the medical proffession. And it was not until the King, the Dukes of York and Charence, Lords Egremont, Agelsbury, Casary, and others of the mbility of England gave Jenner the support of their influence, that he was enabled to introduce the discovery into general practice. Get buth eventually triumph ed, The Sphosition gave way, abuse and persecul - tion were succeeded by plandits and honors; Oxford presented Jenner with a diploma, the Royal Vociety admitted him to membership, and Parliament gave a langible expression of their appreciation of the discovery, by noting him £. 20.000 Sterling. The name of Jenner is immortal. Although at the present day vaccination is practiced in almost every part of the habitable globe; and almost universally admitted to be prophylactic against Small-pop; yet at the

diversity of opinion with respect to its menits, and the extent of its influence as a prophylactic agent. With reference to this question we begleave to offer the following brief remarks; not that we would arrogantly decide where doctors disagree, get as "The agitation of thought is the beginning of buth," so the conflict of spinions lead to the investigation and confirmation of truth.

There exists in the human organism, a natural susceptibility to certain contagious diseases which hossess the power of extinguishing the receptivity of the system to a future injection. Among the most prominent of these, is Variola or Comall pop. And it is in accordance with the great natural law of Bimilia similars curanturi, - that Vaccinella or Cow-pop, a disease similar or analogous to Omall pop- possesses the power of annihilating the

of that disease.

The great stimbling block with many in the medical profession, as well as with the general public, is the well known fact, that many persons who have been vaccinated, receive varidous infection, and have what is called Varioloid. And they ask, how is this? If Cow-pop is really Justhylactic against Small-pop, how happens it that so many of those who have been vaccinated, apparently under the most favorable circum-Stances, receive the injection, and have Varioloidand what is the difference between Varioloid and Small-pop?

To these questions various answers have been given. Some say that Cow-prop is only franlidly protective against Omall- prop; and that persons who have been vaccinated may take Omall- props: yet hold that they are not as liable to receive the infection, and if they do, they will have the disease in a milder form.

Others entertain the opinion, that offer the lapse of seven, fourteen, a twenty one years, "Courpos rums out," or loses its prophylactic influence; and, that consequently the receptivity of the system to variolous infection becomes reestablished, and that re-vaccination is necessary in order to renew the protection.

Others maintain the position that lowfor is prophylactic against Variola, yet does not afford protection against Varioloid,which they hold to be a disease of another genus. Let us for a moment examine the opinions

If Cow-prop is only partially protective against Small-pos how are we to

above expressed.

account for the fact, that a large proportion of those vaccinated escape the contagion of Variola, although frequently explosed to its influence? If Cow-pop "ums out" or loses its pophylactic influence, how is it that so many who have been vaccinated in infancy, and who, without being re-vaccinated, have twenty, Mirty, or even Jorly years afterwards, frequently exposed them--selves to the contagion of Umall-pop, and yet have escaped with impunity? And agains have we any more widence, or reason to suppose that low-pop runs out or loses its prophylactic influence, then that the potention afforded by mall-pop is transitory?

We are aware that instances have occurred where individuals have had Small-pop hice, or were three times, and the same has been observed of Measles, and Hooping-cough, but such cases are exceptions

to the general rule. The recurrence of the disease in the same individual is an anomaly owing to a constitutional peculiarity, or pedisposition to the disease.

Jo those who hold that Wouldid is a disease of another genus, and not of common origin with. Donall-pop, we propose the following questions.

How happens it that those persons who never have been vaccinated an receiving the infection of Varioloid, have gernine Variola? And again, how comes it to pass that those persons who have been vaccinated, on receiving the infection of We will now proceed to state our own view of this highly interesting and important subject. We hold that Vaccination, full, and complete protection against Omall pop. And that all

that is necessary to secure entire immunity from Small-pop is, to repeat vaccination as long as it will continue to produce the low - prof vesicle. We assume the position that as long as isseconation will take in any individual case so as to produce the Cow-pop reside, so long that individual will be liable to take mall-- pop, should be come in contact with it. And that when by repeating vaccination until it will no longer produce its characteristic vesiele: (any foreign matter introduced under the cutiele may produce a sore, the individual thus vaccinated, is in our opinion as certainly protected for all coming time against the contagion of Omall-- prop, or Varioloid, as if he had had either of Those diseases. In connection with this fact, (as we believe it to be) and in support of our position we remark that vaccination will

prequently take twice, and in some instances three, or even four times in the same subject, yet it will invariably be observed, that in each succeeding vaccination, the vesicle will be smal--la, the constitutional disturbance less, and the pustule form, dry up, and the scal- fall of at an earlier period than in the preceding vaccination. This can be accounted for only from the fact, that each succeeding vaccination lessens the susceptibility of the system to vaccine, or variolous infection. It is probable that if we could obtain the vaccine virus pure and unadulterated from the cow, a single vaccin--ation would in almost every instance be suf-- ficient to afford full and complete potection against Small-pop. Get as the virus in gen--eral use has passed through the systems of such multitudes of individuals, many of them doubtess

affected by pravic, ocrefulous, or syphilitie miasma, is it not reasonable to suppose that the virus may have become deteriorated, and lost a part of its prophylactic power? We could adduce strong evidence in support of this opinion, and also of the danger of transmitting by vaccination provie, scrofulous and syphilitie missma, but we are not disposed to enter into a lengthened discussion of this subject. We consider it advisable however, as a precautionary measure against the hand-- mission of chronic missima, to place the patient under an anti-pracie treatment at the time of vaccination. There is buth in the old adage, that "an owner of peventive is worth a pound of euro! Variobid we hold to be nothing more nowless, Man the bastard offspring of Small-pop, gener-- ated in a system partially protected from its vir-- whence by vaccination; or in other words, it is

Chall- hop modified by previous vaccination. In conclusion we would express the wish, that this subject which we consider of vital improbance to the safety and well-being of society, might receive the attention, and continues to be agitated by those in authority, until the truth in relation to it, should become so apparent that the watchmen should see "age treye". And also that measures should be adopted, and if necessary, supported by frenal enachments, securing to all not only vaccination, but re-vaccination, until Imall-pop, that terrible scourge of the human family, should exist in cuilized society, only in the history of the past.

